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FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

Certain information in this Presentation may constitute “forward-looking information” within the meaning of Canadian securities legislation. Forward-looking information can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as “expects”, “plans”, “anticipates”, “believes”, “intends”, “estimates”, “projects”, “aims”, “potential”, “goal”, “objective”, “prospective” or variations of such words and phrases or statements that certain actions, events or conditions “will”, “would”, “may”, “can”, “could” or “should” occur. All statements other than statements of historical facts included in this Presentation constitute forward-looking information, including, but not limited to, statements with respect to the treatment of Reconnaissance Energy Africa Ltd. (“Reconnaissance” or the “Company”) under the regulatory regimes and laws of the jurisdictions in which Reconnaissance conducts its business; future developments; capital and/or equity financing to meet its operating costs and future obligations; social, political and economic developments in jurisdictions in which Reconnaissance conducts its business; Reconnaissance’s ability to obtain qualified staff and equipment in a timely and cost-efficient manner to meet Reconnaissance’s demand; and assumptions related to the factors set forth below. While these factors and assumptions are considered reasonable by the Company as at the date of this Presentation in light of management’s experience and perception of current conditions and expected developments, these statements are inherently subject to significant business, economic and competitive contingencies and uncertainties.

Known and unknown factors and risks could cause the actual results, level of activity, performance or achievements of the Company to be materially different from those expressed by such forward-looking information, including, but not limited to: volatility in market prices for oil and natural gas; the continuation of the recent global financial crisis and economic downturn; liabilities inherent in oil and gas exploration activity including operational and environmental risks; uncertainties associated with estimating oil and natural gas reserves; competition for, among other things, capital, acquisitions, undeveloped lands and skilled personnel; incorrect assessments of the value of acquisitions; unanticipated geological, technical, drilling and processing problems; fluctuations in foreign exchange or interest rates; changes in the laws or application thereof by the governments of the jurisdictions in which Reconnaissance conducts its business; political, social and economic instability in the foreign jurisdictions in which Reconnaissance operates; inability to execute on business plans and strategies; increases to capital expenditure programs and the timing and method of financing thereof; the ability of Reconnaissance to achieve drilling success consistent with management’s expectations; higher than expected operating costs; uncertainty with respect to net present values of future net revenues from reserves; lower than anticipated future production levels from Reconnaissance’s assets; delays with respect to timing and the bringing on of production; changes to expected plans and costs of drilling; drilling inventory and the presence of oil pools or gas accumulations; increased cost projections; global supply and demand for oil and natural gas; ability and costs of increasing plant capacity; expected levels of royalty rates, operating costs, general and administrative costs, costs of services and other costs and expenses; expectations regarding the ability to raise capital and to continually add to reserves through acquisitions, exploration and development; risks and uncertainties related to infectious diseases or outbreaks of viruses, including the COVID-19 pandemic; and such other risks as disclosed in this Presentation, the Company’s annual information filing for the year ended December 31, 2019, which is available on SEDAR at www.sedar.com under the Company’s profile and the Company’s continuous disclosure filings. The forward-looking information contained in this Presentation is expressly qualified by these cautionary statements. Although management of the Company has attempted to identify important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in the forward-looking information, there may be other factors that cause results not to be as anticipated, estimated or intended and readers are cautioned that the foregoing list is not exhaustive of all factors and assumptions which may have been used. There can be no assurance that such statements will prove to be accurate, as actual results and future events could differ materially from those anticipated by such statements. Readers are advised not to place undue reliance on forward-looking information.

Except as required by the securities disclosure laws and regulations applicable to the Company, the Company undertakes no obligation to update this forward-looking information if management’s beliefs, estimates or opinions, or other factors, should change.
Estimated Petroleum Generation: Conventional and Unconventional

BASED ON PREDICTED SOURCE ROCK PROPERTIES AND VARIOUS THICKNESSES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conversion</th>
<th>Thickness in Feet</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200 (mmbce/section)</td>
<td>300 (mmbce/section)</td>
<td>328* (mmbce/section)</td>
<td>400 (mmbce/section)</td>
<td>443** (mmbce/section)</td>
<td>500 (mmbce/section)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50% Kerogen Conversion</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75% Kerogen Conversion</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* net thickness from geological data
** net thickness from Shell's Permian source rock section, Karoo basin, South Africa

50% Kerogen Conversion = ~ 0.84 vitrinite reflectance
75% Kerogen Conversion = ~ 1.10 vitrinite reflectance

Total Petroleum Generation Potential over ReconAfrica’s Kavango Basin Acreage (8.75 million acres)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conversion</th>
<th>Thickness in Feet</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200 1641 sections* (billion boe)</td>
<td>300 1641 sections* (billion boe)</td>
<td>328 1641 sections* (billion boe)</td>
<td>400 1641 sections* (billion boe)</td>
<td>443 1641 sections* (billion boe)</td>
<td>500 1641 sections* (billion boe)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50% Kerogen Conversion</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75% Kerogen Conversion</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* 1641 sections represents 12% of ReconAfrica total holdings of 13,671 sections

50% Kerogen Conversion = ~ 0.84 vitrinite reflectance
75% Kerogen Conversion = ~ 1.10 vitrinite reflectance
Assumptions for Geochemical Calculations

- Geological assessments suggest marine and terrigenous organic matter will be present in source rocks.
- Geochemical data from highly mature Permian section in Karoo Basin, South Africa, was used to estimate minimum TOC and Hydrogen contents.
- Thickness of net source rock intervals:
  - Geological data from Namibia and Botswana was used to predict net thickness of source rocks (328 ft).
  - Data from Claire Geel et al. (2013) was used for an alternate thickness at 443 ft.
  - One hundred foot intervals from 200 to 500 ft in addition to above.
- Source rock was constructed to be composed of a marine shale and terrigenous but slightly oil prone organic matter based on a total South African Karoo basin data suggesting a minimum hydrogen index (HI) of 358 mg kerogen/g TOC:
  - Terrigenous shale: TOC=2.5%, Hydrogen Index = 335 mg/g
  - Marine Shale: TOC=5.0%, Hydrogen Index = 380 mg/g
  - Average TOC=3.75%, HI = 358 mg/g
- A simple arbitrary low heating rate of 2°C/Ma from 15°C to 300°C was utilized for a constant heating rate model.
- Conversion of organic matter is shown for 50% and 75% conversion of the source rock organic matter.
- Of ReconAfrica’s 13,672 sections, calculations are based on 12% of that acreage or 1,641 sections only.
Depositional Systems: Mixed Marine and Terrigenous Shales
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Namibian Kavango Basin has Deeper, Thicker Permian Section than the Owambo Basin

PERMIAN PETROLEUM SYSTEM

- Confirmed by ST1 Well
- Continuous with Shell SA Permian Unconventional

Note: Deeper, thicker system in ReconAfrica lease block - mature for oil

Greater Permian thickness and maturity in Kavango Basin than the Owambo basin to the West.
Permian Whitehill Shale TOC, Present-Day and Restored

(ONE OF THREE SOURCE ROCK INTERVALS)

Present-day as reported in Geel et al., 2013

Restored Original TOC using HI original of 358 mg/g

Average TOC at high conversion: 3.81%

Average original TOC: 5.44%

Difference in TOC (TOCo – TOCpd) is 1.63 % or converted to petroleum 460 boe/af
At 100 ft only of thickness, this is 29.5 mmboe/section/100 ft
Permian Data from Karoo Basin, South Africa Converted to Petroleum Yields

Ref: Geel et al., 2013
Very Conservative Mixed Source Rock Parameters

1. Source Rock Character is determined from regional paleo-geographic assessment.
2. Conservative based on source rock data from Karoo Basin, South Africa.

Source Rock 1
- Hydrogen Index (HI) = 335 mg/g
- TOC = 2.5%
- Petroleum Potential = 307 boe/af

Source Rock 2
- Hydrogen Index (HI) = 380 mg/g
- TOC = 5.0%
- Petroleum Potential = 456 boe/af

Thermal history is constant at a conservative heating rate.

Average:
- HI: 358 mg/g
- TOC: 3.75%